

Summary of representations submitted to the examiner

Ref	Organisation/Individual	Comments
BNDP1-5	Mr Elderfield	<p>There has not been sufficient consideration given to the countryside surrounding the town of Brading.</p> <p>The proposed BNDP does not meet the Basic Conditions as it doesn't take into account permitted development rights for farm buildings.</p> <p>Suggested that the plan should identify specific sites for residential development, and queried the housing need survey figure.</p> <p>Considered that flood risk wasn't suitably covered within the document.</p>
BNDP6-68	Mrs Oglander	<p>The representation identified a number of typographical and grammatical errors and presentational issues.</p> <p>Expressed a concern over the way in which the housing needs survey information was presented.</p> <p>Suggested a number of amendments to policy HE1.</p> <p>Queried whether the policies in the Creating a Sustainable Community and Jobs and Economy chapters take the parish in the right direction.</p> <p>Suggested that the proposed BNDP should address the issue of enabling development to fund improvements to the Brading Roman Villa.</p>
BNDP69-72	Mrs Keech, Enplan UK Ltd	<p>Considers that the neighbourhood plan does not comply with the strategic policies of the Island Plan in that it makes no reference to Policy SP4 and the position taken within the Island Plan on tourism development outside of the RSCs [Rural Service Centres].</p> <p>It is suggested that the plan is amended to include a separate policy on Tourism.</p>

BNDP73-93	Mr Offer	<p>Queries the role of the proposed NDP and 'localism'.</p> <p>Expressed a concern over the way in which the housing needs survey information was presented.</p> <p>Queries the inclusion and treatment of agricultural workers dwellings in the proposed BNDP. Identifies discrepancies between descriptions of the scale of development.</p> <p>Queries whether policy HE2 needs examples of key views within the policy.</p> <p>Queries whether employment opportunities should be limited to within or immediately adjacent the settlement boundary.</p> <p>Consideration should be given to Secured by Design.</p> <p>The representation identified a number of typographical and grammatical errors and presentational issues.</p>
BNDP94-96	Environment Agency	<p>Notes that development sites are not proposed.</p> <p>Supports the approach proposed in SC2 d) and the inclusion of part b) of the same policy.</p> <p>Considers that E1 a) could be made more stringent.</p>
BNDP97-102	Isle of Wight Council	<p>Considers the approach to tourism isn't in general conformity with the Island Plan Core Strategy.</p> <p>Set out issues relating to limiting the scale of development.</p> <p>Observed the criteria-based approach in the proposed BNDP towards development, rather choosing the allocation-based approach.</p>

		<p>Suggested a clearer explanation of the housing needs survey findings in the proposed BNDP.</p> <p>Suggested further explanation could be given regarding the status of the document and relationship with permitted development rights.</p>
BNDP103-112	English Heritage	<p>Generally supportive of the proposed BNDP and its comprehensive consideration of the historic environment.</p> <p>Suggests further information could be given on non-designated heritage assets.</p> <p>Notes that the conservation area and is not referenced, nor East Ashey Manor Farm (which is a scheduled ancient monument).</p> <p>Welcomes and supports policies HE1, HE2 and SC2.</p> <p>Welcomes changes made to the SA/SEA.</p> <p>Overall, notwithstanding issues identified, English Heritage considers that the Brading Neighbourhood Development Plan meets the basic conditions as regards the historic environment.</p>