

THE CALL IN PERIOD FOR THIS DECISION EXPIRES AT 5.00PM ON FRIDAY, 6 MAY 2011. THE DECISION CANNOT BE IMPLEMENTED UNTIL AFTER THAT DATE.

RECORD OF DECISION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED POWERS AT COUNTY HALL, NEWPORT, ISLE OF WIGHT ON WEDNESDAY, 27 APRIL 2011

Present: Cllr David Pugh – Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Finance, Governance and School Improvement

Jonathan Baker – Democratic Services Officer

Item	Objections to The Isle of Wight Council (Various Streets, Nettlestone And Seaview) (Traffic Regulation) Order No 1 2010 – Paper B
Decision reference	13/11
Decision taken	Option b) – THAT Part B - Isle of Wight Council (Various Streets, Nettlestone and Seaview) (Traffic Regulation) Order No 1 2010 for Church Street, Steyne Road and Madeira Road be approved.
Reasons for decision	To create a safer road network.
Additional reasons	<p>To contribute to the delivery of the of the Isle of Wight’s Sustainable Community Strategy, in particular:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create wealth and reduce our carbon footprint at the same time • To improve health, emotional wellbeing and life expectancy across the Island. • To reduce the number of people killed or seriously injured in road accidents by 40% by the year 2010 • To cut the number of short car journeys.
Options considered and rejected	<p>Option a) - Not to approve Part B - The Isle of Wight Council (Various Streets, Nettlestone and Seaview) (Traffic Regulation) Order No 1 2010 for Church Street, Steyne Road and Madeira Road.</p> <p>Option c) - To approve Part B - The Isle of Wight Council (Various Streets, Nettlestone and Seaview) (Traffic Regulation) Order No 1 2010 with amendment for Church Street, Steyne Road and Madeira Road.</p>
Representations received	Representations were received from the Local Ward Member for Seaview and Nettlestone; Cllr Reg Barry raising concerns the report stated his support for the proposed restrictions in Church Street, Steyne Road and Madeira Road. Cllr Barry stated that whilst he did not formally support or instigate the order it would be fair to say he had never objected to them following a qualified traffic engineer stating publicly that they were needed on the grounds of safety.

Declarations of interest	None
Additional advice received	None Declared